



<http://www.caliberties.org/>

California Civil Liberties Advocacy
1017 L Street, No. 791
Sacramento, CA 95814
(916) 426-9338

Wednesday, April 24, 2019

Privacy and Consumer Protection Committee
Room 156A, Legislative Office Building
1020 N Street
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: **AB 1395 (Cunningham) – SUPPORT**

Dear Committee Members:

The California Civil Liberties Advocacy is writing to express **support** for Assembly Bill 1395 (Cunningham). If enacted, AB 1395 will prohibit smart speaker devices, such as Google Home or Amazon Alexa, or their manufacturers, from saving or storing recordings of verbal commands or conversations heard by the device, regardless of whether the device was triggered by a key word or phrase.

According to Forbes, over 100 million Amazon smart speaker devices have been sold as of the start of 2019.ⁱ This is concerning as the population of the United States is about 330 million.ⁱⁱ It is reasonable to infer that nearly every household in the nation, including California, will have a smart speaker device in their home, vehicle, or practically anywhere internet connectivity is available.

Bloomberg recently reported that recordings from these devices are transcribed and annotated by live people before being fed back into the software. The purpose is to eliminate gaps in the voice assistant's understanding of human speech so it can better respond to commands.ⁱⁱⁱ Workers sometimes pick up things that the users would probably rather be private, such as people singing in the shower or a child screaming.ⁱⁱⁱ Interestingly, Bloomberg goes on to report that the teams who review these recordings use an internal chat room to share files when they need help determining certain words or when they "come across an amusing recording."ⁱⁱⁱ Two workers reported that they picked what they believed was a sexual assault.ⁱⁱⁱ The article did not elaborate but this poses a serious privacy issue.

Whether or not criminal conduct is taking place, the privacy of someone's dwelling is sacred and neither governments nor corporations have a right to spy on citizens. What if the workers were wrong or misinterpreting the situation? We already know that Amazon and other tech companies are sharing facial recognition data with law enforcement^{iv}, an issue the CCLA has been trying to bring to the attention of members of this Legislature to no avail for nearly three years. And as of the day of this writing, there have been reports in the media that Amazon Alexa workers can access users' home address information.^v It is starting to seem that every day there is a new report about some tech giant like Amazon, Google, or others invading consumers' privacy.

Beginning late last year, Microsoft started calling on the government and contemporary tech corporations to uphold peoples' privacy as a human right and to enact laws and policies to uphold that right.^{vi} Microsoft CEO Satya Nadella says "the tech industry and governments need to collectively

"Indifference to personal liberty is but the precursor of the state's hostility to it."

— Justice Kennedy, U.S. Supreme Court

consider the unintended consequences of every business becoming a digital company,” highlighting three major considerations: privacy, cybersecurity and artificial intelligence.^{vi} It is not uncommon for organizations like the CCLA to call for these type of regulations. But when the companies who created and continue to profit from this technology start asking the government to regulate them, it is time to seriously stop and address these issues.

AB 1395 and similar bills, while far from comprehensive, represent a good start in the right direction and the CCLA is eager to support.

For all of the abovementioned reasons, the CCLA strongly **supports** AB 1395.

Very truly yours,



Matty Hyatt
Legislative Advocate
(916) 426-9338, ext. 502
m.hyatt@caliberty.net

Cc: Assembly Member Jordan Cunningham
California State Capitol
Room 4102
Sacramento, CA 95814

ⁱ Kate O'Flaherty, Amazon Staff Are Listening to Alexa Conversations -- Here's What to Do (Forbes 2019), <https://www.forbes.com/sites/kateoflahertyuk/2019/04/12/amazon-staff-are-listening-to-alexa-conversations-heres-what-to-do/#6dd4fe3d71a2> (last visited Apr. 24, 2019).

ⁱⁱ U.S. and World Population Clock (U.S. Census Bureau 2019), <https://www.census.gov/popclock/> (last visited Apr. 24, 2019).

ⁱⁱⁱ Matt Day, Giles Turner & Natalia Drozdiak, Amazon Workers Are Listening to What You Tell Alexa (Bloomberg, 2019), <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2019-04-10/is-anyone-listening-to-you-on-alexa-a-global-team-reviews-audio> (last visited Apr. 24, 2019).

^{iv} Dave Lee, Amazon Defends Providing Police Facial Recognition Tech (2018), <https://www.bbc.com/news/technology-44220037> (last visited Apr. 24, 2019).

^v Matt Day, Giles Turner & Natalia Drozdiak, Amazon Alexa Reviewers Can Access Customers' Home Addresses (Bloomberg 2019), <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2019-04-24/amazon-s-alexa-reviewers-can-access-customers-home-addresses> (last visited Apr. 24, 2019).

^{vi} Elizabeth Schulze, Microsoft CEO Satya Nadella: Tech Companies Need to Defend Privacy As a Human Right (CNBC 2018), <https://www.cnn.com/2018/11/01/microsoft-ceo-tech-companies-need-to-defend-privacy-as-a-human-right.html> (last visited Apr. 24, 2019).